



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/517,613	03/02/2000	Thiru Srinivasan	1642(42059-01010)	. 4139	
25231 7:	590 10/17/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP			ENGLAND	ENGLAND, DAVID E	
SUITE 411	VAUGHN WAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AURORA, CO 80014		2143	10		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	<i>l</i> -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

!			PRG			
· /	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/517,613	SRINIVASAN, THIRU				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. England	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request the application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does N	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		A W				





* NOTE: *

As to the remarks, Applicant has submitted the provisional application that the main reference Eyal relies on as a provisional application, 60/177786 filed on January 24, 2000. In which the Applicant states that the provisional document does not suggest or teach interface which is configured to receive and process selection for compiling a download schedule, nor is there a file download device, which based on the download schedule, automatically accesses the remote site and downloads the selected multimedia file. Furthermore, the provisional application of Eyal does not teach the network interface signaling a request to a network service module and receiving addresses that match the search request.

As to part the first part, Examiner has read over the provisional application of Eyal and disagrees with the Applicant in that the provisional application of Eyal does teach the interface which is configured to receive and process selection for compiling a download schedule, nor is there a file download device, which based on the download schedule, automatically accesses the remote site and downloads the selected multimedia file, pages 3 - 4 and 12 - 15 of the provisional application. Clearly stating in the first paragraph of the "Detailed Description" quoting,

"According to an embodiment, a system is provided comprising a media search engine. The media search engine may be used to create a database of links to media files. The links may be structured according to predefined categories and/or user defined search criteria. A client terminal includes a media player to automatically access one or more media files using the corresponding links. The media player then plays back media contained on the media files.", noting that this section does in no way limit the teaches in the provisional application of Eyal in any way.

The provisional application clearly states creating a database of links to media, download scheduler, and automatically accessing, or downloading, media files. If the Applicant would continue to read the newly cited pages of the Provisional application it would be understood that the Provisional application teaches more of what is stated above and further teaches the network interface signaling a request to a network service module and receiving addresses that match the search request.

Claim 10 is still rejected for the reasons stated above that is pertaining to the claim in view of Martino.

Claims 1 - 19 are still rejected for the reasons and cited areas stated above and the reasons and cited areas stated before in previous actions

BUNJOB JARGENCHONWANIT PRIMARY EXAMINER